

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/817,330	04/02/2004	Qi Jia	UNI.26	1136
SWANSON & BRATSCHUN, L.I 8210 SOUTHPARK TERRACE	BRATSCHUN, L.L.C. ARK TERRACE		EXAMINER WINSTON, RANDALL O	
LITTLETON, CO 80120			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1655	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	02/20/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

· 및					
E g. up U	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/817,330	JIA, QI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	RANDALL WINSTON	1655			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 f	November 2007				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E.). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 19-30,32 and 46-59 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 19-30,32 and 46-59 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). i(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A ority documents have beer au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			

Art Unit: 1655

DETAILED ACTION

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/19/2007 has been entered.

Claims 19-30, 32, 46-58 and new claim 59 will be examined on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 19-30, 32 and 46-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Xu (US 6,083,921) in view of Zhou (US 6,319,523).

Applicant claims a pharmaceutical composition (i.e. topical) comprising Free-B-ring flavonoid (i.e. baicalin), Flavans (i.e. catechin) and excipients in various amounts.

Xu teaches a topical pharmaceutical composition (i.e. the pharmaceutical composition is applied as a cream) comprising baicalin (i.e. the baicalin is extracted from *Scutellaria*) and excipients within a pharmaceutical composition used for antibacterial purposes. Xu, however, does not expressly teach the Flavan of catechin

Art Unit: 1655

included within its pharmaceutical composition used for antibacterial purposes (see, e.g. entire patent including abstract, column 12 lines 25-32 and claims 1 and 7).

Zhou benefically teaches catechin (i.e. the catechin is extracted from *Acacia* catechu) contained within a pharmaceutical composition used for antibacterial purposes (see, e.g. abstract, claims, especially claims 1 and 5).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Xu's topical pharmaceutical composition to include the other active ingredient of catechin as taught in Zhou because the above combined two references would create the claimed topical pharmaceutical to be used for antibacterial purposes. Moreover, as discussed in MPEP Section 2114.06, "it is prima facie obvious to combine two or more compositions each of which is taught by the prior art to be useful for the same purpose (e.g. an antibacterial purpose), in order to form a third composition to used for the same purpose". The adjustments of other conventional working conditions (i.e. the formed administered (e.g. the composition is formulated in a regular of controlled releasing vehicle) and in what amounts), is deemed a matter of judicious selection and routine optimization which is well within the purview of the skilled artisan.

Accordingly, the invention as a whole is prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, especially in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

Application/Control Number: 10/817,330

Art Unit: 1655

TOOTHOUNDER. TOOTT,

Please note, the intended use of the above claimed composition (i.e. the

pharmaceutical composition for use in the treatment of cyclooygenase (COX) and (LOX)

mediated diseases and inflammatory conditions) does not patentably distinguish the

composition, per se, since such undisclosed use is inherent in the reference

composition. In order to be limiting, the intended use must create a structural difference

between the claimed composition and the prior art composition. In the instant case, the

intended use does not create a structural difference, thus the intended use is not limiting

(see, e.g., MPEP 2112).

Please note that the patentability of a product (i.e. in claims 24-29) does not

depend upon the method of production. If the product in a product-by-process claim is

the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, then the claim is unpatentable

even though the prior art product was made by a different process" (see, e.g. MPEP

2113).

Applicant's arguments filed on 11/19/2007 have been carefully considered but

they are not deemed persuasive. Applicant argues that the combined two references of

Xu and Zhou create a topical pharmaceutical used for antibacterial purposes. Applicant

has now amended the claims in a request for continued examination to clarify that the

composition of the instant invention is useful for the treatment of cyclooxygenase (COX)

and lipoxygenase (LOX) mediated diseases and conditions of the skin. Therefore,

neither of the references of Xu or Zhou teaches or suggests the treatment of any of the

Application/Control Number: 10/817,330

Art Unit: 1655

specific diseases and conditions set forth in the specification or claims of the insant invention.

Although Applicant has now amended the claims to clarify that the composition of the instant invention is useful for the treatment of cyclooxygenase (COX) and lipoxygenase (LOX) mediated diseases and conditions of the skin, Applicant's amendment to the claims is not deem persuasive because the intended use of the above claimed does not patentably distinguish the composition, per se, since such undisclosed use is inherent in the reference composition. In order to be limiting, the intended use must create a structural difference between the claimed composition and the prior art composition. In the instant case, the intended use does not create a structural difference, thus the intended use is not limiting (see, e.g., MPEP 2112). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Xu's topical pharmaceutical composition to include the other active ingredient of catechin as taught in Zhou because the above combined two references would create the claimed topical pharmaceutical to be used for antibacterial purposes. Moreover, as discussed in MPEP Section 2114.06, "it is prima facie obvious to combine two or more compositions each of which is taught by the prior art to be useful for the same purpose (e.g. an antibacterial purpose), in order to form a third composition to used for the same purpose". The adjustments of other conventional working conditions (i.e. the formed administered (e.g. the composition is formulated in a regular of controlled releasing vehicle) and in what amounts), is deemed a matter of

Application/Control Number: 10/817,330 Page 6

Art Unit: 1655

judicious selection and routine optimization which is well within the purview of the skilled artisan.

Accordingly, the invention as a whole is prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, especially in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RANDALL WINSTON whose telephone number is (571)272-0972. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terry McKelvey can be reached on 571-272-0775. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

